

Georgia College Provost's Summer Research Fellows (GC PSRF)

Based on the guidelines for the Georgia College Provost's Summer Research Fellows (GC PSRF) program, a rubric for evaluating applications can be structured around several key criteria. Each criterion can be rated on a scale from 1 to 5, with 5 indicating exceptional quality and 1 indicating significant deficiencies. The total score will help in ranking the applications.

1. Clarity and Quality of Proposal (1-5 points)

- **5:** The proposal is exceptionally clear, well-organized, and articulate, providing a comprehensive description of the scholarship or creative work to be supported.
- **3:** The proposal is clear and adequately organized, with a sufficient description of the work.
- **1:** The proposal lacks clarity and organization, with an incomplete or vague description of the work.

2. Rationale and Value of the Project (1-5 points)

- **5:** The rationale is compelling, demonstrating significant value and potential impact within the field.
- **3:** The rationale is reasonable, showing moderate value and potential impact.
- **1:** The rationale is weak or unconvincing, with minimal perceived value or impact.

3. Feasibility and Timeline (1-5 points)

- **5:** The timeline is detailed and realistic, clearly outlining achievable milestones within the summer period.
- **3:** The timeline is adequate, with general milestones that appear achievable.
- **1:** The timeline is vague, unrealistic, or lacks sufficient detail.

4. Expected Outcomes and Dissemination Plan (1-5 points)

- **5:** The expected outcomes are clearly defined, with a robust plan for submission to appropriate peer-reviewed or juried outlets by September 2, 2025.
- **3:** The expected outcomes are moderately defined, with a general plan for dissemination.
- **1:** The expected outcomes are unclear, with an inadequate or absent dissemination plan.

5. Applicant's Qualifications and Past Performance (1-5 points)

- **5:** The applicant has a strong track record of scholarship or creative work, with prior successful submissions to peer-reviewed or juried outlets.
- **3:** The applicant has a moderate track record, with some relevant experience and submissions.
- **1:** The applicant has limited or no relevant track record, with minimal experience in scholarly or creative submissions.

6. Alignment with Program Goals (1-5 points)

- **5:** The project aligns perfectly with the GC PSRF's goal of increasing scholarly productivity and enhancing the university's national reputation.
- **3:** The project aligns moderately with the program's goals.
- **1:** The project has little to no alignment with the program's goals.

Total Score: ____ / 30

Note: Applicants must meet all eligibility requirements as specified in the program guidelines. Incomplete or late applications will not be considered.